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1 **Aim and Scope**

1.1 The Planning Enforcement Plan (PEP) sets out how the Council's planning enforcement service will help achieve corporate regeneration objectives address breaches of planning control and prioritise its work.

1.2 The plan describes the range of powers available to the Council, how the Council will decide whether or not to pursue planning enforcement action and the process of planning enforcement.

1.3 This revised PEP reflects the changes in legislation and guidance that have emerged since the adoption of the original plan.

2 **Introduction**

2.1 The Town and Country Planning system operates to regulate development and the use of land having regard to Central Government policy and advice, Local Development Plans and other material considerations.

2.2 Section 55 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 defines development as “the carrying out of building, mining, engineering or other operation in, on, under or over land or the making of any material change in the use of any buildings or other land”.

2.3 A breach of planning control is defined at Section 171A of the Town and Country Planning Act as “the carrying out of development without the required planning permission, or failing to comply with any condition or limitation subject to which planning permission has been granted”.

Common breaches of planning control and complaints made include:

- Building or engineering works that do not have planning permission and which are not development permitted by the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development)(England) Order 2015, or any other Order.
- Unauthorised works being carried out to a listed building (referred to in the Town and Country Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as amended).
- Material changes of use of land or building to a different use carried out without planning permission or which is not development permitted under the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development)(England) Order 2015 or the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended).
- Development that has not been carried out in accordance with a planning permission.
- Failure to comply with a condition (or the requirements of a legal agreement) attached to a planning permission.
- Unauthorised works to protected trees.
- Display of certain advertisements, without the necessary consent.
- Untidy Sites, under Section 215 of the Town and Country Planning Act.
2.4 Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) sets out that effective enforcement is important as it:

- Tackles breaches of planning control that would otherwise have an unacceptable impact on the amenity of the area i.e. to protect the district from harmful effects of unauthorised development.
- Maintains the integrity of the decision making process.
- Helps ensure public acceptance of the decision making process is maintained.

2.5 However, The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) acknowledges that enforcement action is discretionary, and local planning authorities should act proportionately in responding to suspected breaches of planning control.

2.6 A planning enforcement plan:

- Allows engagement in the process of defining priorities and objectives that are tailored to local circumstances.
- Sets out priorities for enforcement action which will inform decisions about when to take action.
- Provides greater transparency and accountability about how the local planning authority will decide if it is expedient to exercise its discretionary powers.
- Provides greater certainty for all parties engaged in the development process. (PPG 2014)

2.7 The Regulators’ Code sets out the Governments expectations that local authorities will ensure their approach to regulatory activities are transparent. The code came into statutory effect on 6 April 2014 under the Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006 and provides a clear, flexible and principles-based framework for how regulators should engage with those they regulate. It sets out, amongst other things that allegations of breaches of planning control will be investigated subject to a prioritisation process and according to the Code. The code promotes proportionate, consistent and targeted regulatory activity. See Appendix A for more detail on Planning Enforcement and the Regulators Code.

3 General Principles

3.1 Planning enforcement is discretionary, and when carrying out enforcement action the Council must work within the statutory framework and follow best practice guidance and procedure.

The Council has discretion to take enforcement action when they regard it expedient to do so having regard to the development plan and any other material considerations. This includes a local enforcement plan, where it is not part of the development plan. (PPG 2014)
3.2 The Council is committed to acting in a fair and consistent manner and has adopted a planning enforcement plan as part of this commitment.

3.3 Resources will be targeted at dealing with the most serious breaches of planning control which cause unacceptable harm to, the quality of life of residents and the natural, historic and built environments.

When exercising its enforcement functions the Council will act in a way that is:

- Transparent.
- Accountable.
- Proportionate.
- Consistent.
- Targeted only at cases in which action is needed.

The provisions of the European Convention on Human Rights such as Article 1 of the First Protocol, Articles 8 and 14 are relevant when considering enforcement action. There is a clear public interest in enforcing planning law and planning regulations in a proportionate way. (PPG 2014)

Reference to relevant documents, advice, guidance and legislation that underpin this Plan can be found at Appendix B.

4 Local Contexts

4.1 The Planning Enforcement Plan is formulated to make sure that unacceptable breaches of planning control that cause the most harm are remedied and that development is carried out in accordance with the terms of any approval. This ensures that the Councils Development Plan, which in turn supports Corporate Plan objectives, is being met.

The Planning Enforcement Plan seeks to support:

- A thriving economy.
- A clean, green and safe environment.
- Healthier people and communities.
- A smarter council.

4.4 Whilst the majority of planning enforcement work is reactive, the Council is committed, where practicable and appropriate, to undertake pro-active enforcement action. The Development Plan seeks to transform Dover Town Centre in particular, and the Council is currently piloting a street scene improvement initiative within Dover Town Centre area.
This initiative involves the Planning Enforcement Team using its powers under s215 of the Act and working in conjunction with other departments to improve and enhance the Dover Town Centre area as part of its regeneration agenda. If successful, this may be extended to cover other areas of the district.

4.5 The Council monitors the implementation of planning permissions in different ways. It monitors housing development permissions for the Council’s Authority Monitoring Report. The Council has a conditions Officer who pursues outstanding conditions with developers as part of conditions submissions pursuant to permissions being granted. The planning enforcement team has protocols for liaison between internal departments, in particular Building Control, which will include further ways of co-ordinating conditions monitoring in a joined-up approach. In other cases conditions are not routinely monitored. For this type of investigation work, the most efficient use of planning enforcement resources is reactive.

5 How planning enforcement requests are responded to.

5.1 Submitting a valid Complaint

5.1.1 To enable the Investigation team to establish how an alleged breach or complaint can be best investigated and what priority should be allocated to it, as much information as possible is required from a complainant at the outset. There are a number of questions and details that are required that will comprise a valid complaint.

A valid complaint is one which includes:

- Details of the alleged breach, which are full enough to enable an investigation to take place including photographs
- The full address or geographic grid reference of the site where the breach is taking place
- Details of the amount of time the breach has been carried on for
- Full contact details, including the address and telephone number of the complainant

5.1.2 In the course of carrying out an initial desk based assessment, except in the case of allegations of unauthorised works to listed buildings or protected trees, further information may need to be obtained from the complainant, which is why full contact details are necessary. Only valid complaints will be given a priority rating and allocated to an Investigation Officer.
For details of how to report a suspected breach of planning control or complaint about an untidy site see Appendix C

5.2 Prioritisation

5.2.1 Whilst the majority of alleged breaches of planning control and/or complaints reported will be investigated, the planning enforcement function only operates in the wider public interest. The Council does not exercise its planning enforcement powers as a punishment and operates a system where those matters that are considered to be most important, based on planning merits, are given highest priority.

5.2.2 In view of the significant numbers of complaints that are received and to ensure efficient use of resources, a targeted approach is taken towards those breaches that are potentially most harmful.

5.2.3 A prioritisation assessment involving a desk-based matrix - scoring system is utilised to carry out an initial evaluation of an alleged breach of planning control. All alleged breaches are screened, evaluated and scored against specific criteria in this way. The resultant score indicates whether a case is categorised as high, medium or low priority for investigation purposes. The circumstances and details of each alleged breach, including material planning considerations, are taken into account in deciding what level of priority is given to a case.

The matrix and desk based assessment approach is outlined at Appendix D.

**High priority cases** include those where:

- Serious harm is being caused to the environment, especially within the AONB and/or to residential amenity;
- Unauthorised works are being carried out to a listed building;
- Significant harm is being caused to the character and setting of a Conservation Area;
- Unauthorised works are being undertaken causing harm or damage to protected trees.

5.2.4 The team will aim to undertake an initial assessment and site visit of all high priority cases within 3 working days of acknowledgement of a valid complaint.

5.2.5 It should be noted that an allegation of works to a listed building or works being carried out to a protected tree, will usually result in an immediate initial assessment, site visit and inspection by an Investigation Officer.
Medium priority cases include those where:

- Development is contrary to Development Plan Policy or Government Policy AND is unacceptable, cannot be justified and which causes some level of environmental/residential harm – this also could include the display of some types of advertisements or untidy sites (under Section 215 of the Act).

5.2.6 Cases that are allocated a medium priority will result in an initial assessment being made, which may include a site visit and inspection within 15 working days of acknowledgement of a valid complaint.

Low priority cases are those which involve minor or technical breaches and / or which are judged to cause little or no harm. These cases include:

- Development which involves minor differences between an approved scheme and what has been built.
- Breaches of condition which are minor in nature and / or are likely to be resolved during the passage of time e.g. bricks that are a slightly different colour to those which are approved, means of enclosure which differ from details approved etc.
- Breaches of planning control which cause little or no harm to residential and / or environmental amenity e.g. where an extension or means of enclosure has been carried out without planning permission which is only marginally above or beyond what the permitted development criteria allow.

5.2.7 Where the matrix assessment identifies the alleged breach to be a low priority, it will not normally be necessary to carry out a site visit or inspection. A desk based assessment and investigation will be carried out and dependant on the outcome the case will usually be closed.

5.2.8 It should be noted that during the course of any investigation, depending on information and evidence obtained the prioritisation category may change.
What the Planning Enforcement Team will not, as a matter of course, investigate:

- Cases which are not valid complaints.
- Boundary disputes – these are civil matters not able to be resolved under the planning legislation.
- Dangerous structures – these are dealt with by Building Control contact: buildingcontrol@dover.gov.uk
- Fly tipping – these are dealt with by Enviro-crime, contact envcrime@dover.gov.uk
- Noisy neighbours – these are dealt with by Environmental Protection, contact envhealth@dover.gov.uk
- Development that is permitted by the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 as amended and/or the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended) (referred to as permitted development)
- Development that is immune from action (e.g. 4 years in the case of a dwelling house or operational development and 10 years in the case of a use or breach of a planning condition).
- Anonymous complaints, except where unauthorised works are being carried out to a listed building or to a protected tree.

### 5.3 Investigation

5.3.1 Once a case has been validated an acknowledgement letter will be sent. In the case of low priority cases that are to be closed a letter will be sent to the complainant explaining why the Council is not going to take the investigation forward and has closed the case. In the case of high and medium priority cases, further investigations will be undertaken (usually involving a site visit). It may be that during the course of investigation, the Investigation Officer will seek further information from the complainant.

5.3.2 The Council does not publicise sites which are under investigation as this could prejudice the outcome of the investigation. However, up-dates on specific cases can be made available to Ward Councillors, on request, subject to such up-dates being treated as confidential.

5.3.3 Where a case is complex an investigation can take some time to conclude it’s findings. Once a decision has been taken on any course of action the complainant will be notified accordingly.

See Appendix E in respect of the general principles behind planning enforcement investigations.
5.4 Planning enforcement action and options.

5.4.1 Taking enforcement action does not only mean issuing formal notices. Resolution of alleged breaches of planning control can be best achieved through negotiation and discussion to achieve an equitable outcome.

Different types of action include:

Informal Action.

- Verbal advice – where a case is dealt with through discussion with relevant parties. Where the contravener shows a willingness to remedy contraventions which could be of a relatively minor nature.
- Written advice – where a case is dealt with in writing between interested parties. This may follow the above stages, but would be better utilized where the breach being investigated is a little more complex/senious – but none-the-less where the contravener indicates co-operation to remedy the breach.
- Negotiation – which may involve persuading the contravener to make some changes to a development which could result in the development being permitted development (and thus not requiring permission) or could result in the submission of a regularising application for a suitable development.

And

Formal Action.

- Formal notices – this can mean that notices requiring information relating to the breach are served and/or that a notice is served requiring certain steps to be taken to remedy any breach or to stop a breach from happening or recurring.
- Simple caution – this step taken where an offence has been committed under the legislation, such as the non-compliance with a valid notice or where unauthorised works are being carried out to a listed building or protected tree etc.
- Direct action – this step is considered where a formal notice has been served and has not been complied with, which would remedy the breach to serve the wider public interest, particularly the appearance and amenity of the area.
- Prosecution – this step is taken where it is considered proportionate to the requirements of any notice which has not been complied with and would best serve the wider public interests of the area.
- Injunction – is only sought in respect of the most serious of breaches of planning control.
5.4.2 Enforcement action and any other action will always be proportionate, that is to say commensurate with the type of breach and the level of harm. Where little or no harm is caused action will not be pursued. Formal action is usually only taken as a last resort when all other avenues to resolve a breach have been exhausted. Where the balance of public interest lies in pursuing a course of action will vary from case to case.

5.4.3 Considerations on expediency will include where the development “conflicts” to an unacceptable degree with the Development Plan and government policies and objectives, and/or causes serious harm to public amenity and/or to an interest of acknowledged importance, such as the character or appearance of a conservation area or the setting of a listed building.

5.4.4 The Planning Enforcement Team works with other internal departments and external agencies to ensure a multi-disciplinary approach is taken where appropriate.

See Appendix F for circumstances where enforcement action may have to be taken and Appendix G for details of types of actions, formal notices and when they are used.

5.4.5 Where formal enforcement action is pursued, and a notice is issued, then in most cases the contravener can appeal to the Planning Inspectorate against the notice. This does not apply to an appeal against a Breach of Condition Notice or a Notice issued under Section 215 of the Act, where appeals are heard by the Courts. Whilst an appeal is under consideration the Council cannot take steps to remedy the breach set out in the notice.

5.4.6 In an appeal against the issue of a Notice, particularly if the appeal is held at a Public Inquiry, the Council will expect complainants to assist the Council in giving evidence as a witness.

5.4.7 Once an appeal has been decided, or there has been no appeal against the issue of an enforcement notice, the Council will consider what steps it should take to remedy the harm caused by the breach. Those steps are to either prosecute the contravener for non-compliance, against which ultimately there is a prison sentence, and/or to carry out works in default (known as direct action) of all or any of the requirements of the notice. If direct action is taken to remedy the breach, the owner will be asked to pay for the costs of the works. Failure to pay results in a charge being placed on the land so that costs can be recovered in future. These steps are serious measures and due consideration has to be given at each stage as to the most proportionate and effective course of action to remedy the harmful effects of the breach.
5.6 Case Closure and Monitoring the Service

Our investigations are considered to be complete when one of the following points has been reached:

• The investigation identifies that no breach of planning control has occurred.
• An alleged breach of planning has been identified but then resolved by negotiation.
• A planning application or other form of application has been submitted and approved following the investigation.
• A breach of planning control has been identified but it is not considered expedient to take formal enforcement action.
• A formal notice has been complied with.
• A formal notice has been dismissed by the Planning Inspectorate or Secretary of State at appeal.

5.5.1 The Council has a Planning Enforcement Register available to the public which contains details of Enforcement Notices, Stop Notices, Breach of Condition Notices and Planning Enforcement Orders that have been issued. The Council also publishes an up-to-date list of Notices issued under Section 215 of the Act against untidy sites. The Planning Enforcement Register and list of Section 215 Notices can be viewed via the Councils web-site:

https://www.dover.gov.uk/Planning/PlanningApplications/Enforcement/Enforcement.aspx

5.5.2 The Council notifies Ward Councillors and the Portfolio Holder as well as the complainant when a formal Enforcement Notice or Section 215 Notice is issued. For automatic notification of additions to the Enforcement Register and Section 215 Notice list, you can apply to “Keep me posted” via the Councils web-site:

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/UKDOVER/ subscriber/new

5.3.3 The Government gathers statistics on Councils performances in respect of issuing certain Notices (not Untidy Site Notices under S215). The Council monitors its own performance and for this purpose keeps records of cases received; cases closed through negotiation; where there is no breach; where it is not expedient to take action; notices issued; compliance and appeal decisions, as well as default actions and prosecutions taken. This is subject to regular reporting to and scrutiny of Members.

A flow chart setting out the complete investigation process is set out at Appendix H
APPENDICES

A. Planning Enforcement and the Regulators Code.
Although planning enforcement is a discretionary function, the Council has decided to exercise its function and accordingly does so pursuant to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended). The Council acts in a proportionate way in tackling breaches of planning control and will take action only when it is considered expedient to do so. In considering action the Council will have regard, amongst other things to the development plan and all other material considerations when deciding their approach. The Enforcement Plan is a material consideration.

The Councils Planning Enforcement team sits within the Planning and Development Department.

In accordance with the Regulators code https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/300126/14-705-regulators-code.pdf, where there is an offence, firm but fair enforcement of the law will be informed by the principles of proportionality in applying the law and securing compliance; consistency of approach; targeting of enforcement action; transparency about how the Council operates, what those regulated may expect; and accountability for the Councils actions.

B. Relevant advice, guidance and legislation

- The Development Plan
- Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development)(England) Order 2015 (as amended)
- Planning Policy Guidance (PPG)
- The Council’s overarching Enforcement Strategy.
- Advice from the Crown Prosecution Service.
- Home Office COPS and Guidance.
- Criminal Procedure and Investigation Act 1996.
- Data Protection Act 1998.
- The Protection of Freedoms Act 2012.

C. How to report a suspected breach of planning control
There are several ways to notify the Council of a suspected breach of planning control, which must always be in writing. The most straightforward way is to visit the Council's website and complete the Planning Enforcement Alleged Breach of Planning Control complaints form. This will assist you to give the necessary information to enable a valid case to be created. The link to this form is as follows:

https://forms.dover.gov.uk/planning-breach

The Council's Customer Service Team will be able to complete the form for you to forward onto the Planning Enforcement team if you do not have access to the internet. The Council has a walk-in reception where you can speak to a Member of the Customer Service or you can telephone them. Their contact number is 01304 821199.

You can also approach your Parish or Town Council Clerk who may be able to complete and forward the form to Planning Enforcement Team on your behalf. The Planning Enforcement Team has a dedicated email address. If you wish to make a complaint you should follow the link in the email acknowledgment, which will direct you to the complaint form.

Although you can register a complaint via this address, it may not be responded to as quickly as the dedicated form. It may also result in a delay as we will have to complete the complaints form on your behalf and if we do not have sufficient information then we will have to get back to you. The email address is planningenforcement@Dover.GOV.UK. You should be aware that all information and details are treated as confidential during the course of an investigation. However it may be that should matters proceed to Court or Public Inquiry, then your details may be made public.

Dover District Council is a data controller under GDPR; your attention is drawn to our Corporate Privacy Notice at https://www.dover.gov.uk/privacy. This explains how we will use and share your personal information and protect your privacy and rights.

D. The Prioritisation Matrix

Priority assessments are calculated on receipt of a valid complaint. As is discussed in the main body of the plan, it is necessary for the Council to use its resources responsibly and prudently. Investigation efforts are always directed at the most serious of breaches which would cause most harm. A matrix system has been developed to ensure consistency and fairness in assessing the initial complaint. The matrix assessment will be carried out as part of a desk based exercise and is the first stage of investigating a complaint.
The initial desk based exercise may also include contacting the complainant for further details, researching the legislation and planning history and making any other enquiries to establish facts and evidence.

The initial matrix and desk based research approach is subject to ongoing review and refinement. The Council wants to ensure fairness and consistency in making its initial assessment and takes into account matters such as the level or seriousness of harm caused – such as ongoing noise or pollution, whether the harmful effects are escalating or stable, whether there is serious effects or harm to neighbour or residential amenity, where the development is located i.e. is it in an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty or in a Conservation Area and so on.

It is therefore necessary that as much detailed information is given in registering a complaint so that a full evaluation can be considered to a range of identified criteria in considering the priority that is given to a complaint.

The matrix assessment scoring approach and desk based research carried out gives an initial indication of the priority that is given to the investigation as well as determining whether a case can be closed.

It may be that in the course of investigations low, medium or high priority cases may have their priority altered.

E. Planning Enforcement Investigation principles

The Town and Country Planning system regulates development and use of land, in the public interest.

Although it is not a criminal offence to carry out development without first obtaining any necessary planning permission, such action is discouraged. The fact that enforcement action is discretionary and should be used as a last resort and only when it is expedient, does not condone the wilful breach of planning control.

In considering appropriate formal enforcement action the decisive issue for the local planning authority is whether the breach of planning control would cause serious unacceptable harm to the environment and / or to amenity and whether that harm is irreversible.

Any enforcement action taken will be commensurate and proportionate to the breach of planning control to which it relates.

It is usually inappropriate to take formal action against a trivial or technical breach of planning control which causes no harm or where the development is acceptable on its merits and formal enforcement action would solely be to regularise the
development or where the local planning authority consider that an application is the appropriate was forward to regularise a situation where for example, planning conditions may be imposed. This approach reflects Government advice.

The objective of the enforcement function is not punitive and will be to remedy the effects of the breach of planning control, not to punish the person(s) (contravener) carrying out the breach.

The fact that development has been carried out because the contravener believed planning permission was not needed, is not relevant in determining whether or not to take enforcement action. However, the cost to the contravener, in responding to any enforcement action could represent financial and / or human rights burdens and whilst this does not prevent action being taken, this can be a material consideration in deciding how to handle a particular case.

The starting point for any investigation is to consider negotiating a solution and to identify steps, if there are any, which could mitigate any harmful effects. In negotiating a solution and / or considering the expediency of taking enforcement action it will be necessary for the local planning authority to bear in mind the statutory time limits for pursuing enforcement action. Formal action will not be taken where:

- There is a trivial or technical breach of planning control which causes no material harm or adverse impact on the amenity of the site or surrounding area.
- Development is acceptable on its own planning merits and formal action would be solely taken to regularise the development.
- In any assessment, the Council considers that an application is the most appropriate way forward to regularise the situation for example where planning conditions may need to be imposed.

**F. Circumstances where formal planning enforcement action may be taken**

Where the Council considers that unauthorised development may be acceptable, or could be made acceptable, a retrospective application will be invited without prejudice to the eventual determination of any such application. Section 73A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 allows planning permission to be given for development that has already been carried out.

In some situations, where a contravener asserts that a breach has become lawful (or immune from enforcement action), there is an expectation that the contravener will provide sufficient evidence to the Council to substantiate their claims.

This will normally need to be in the form of an application for a Certificate of Existing
Lawful Use or Development. A failure to submit an application supported by the necessary evidence establishing that lawful use or where insufficient evidence is available from other sources to corroborate the contravener’s assertions it may result in the issue of an Enforcement Notice.

Where retrospective planning or other applications are submitted and invalidated (i.e. where there is a failure to comply with the Council’s Validation Checklist, for example), the Council will not consider this sufficient reason to delay further enforcement action. The onus is upon the contravener to submit a valid application within the specified timescales.

Where a planning or other application has been submitted to “regularise” a breach, any formal enforcement action considerations will be made following determination of the application.

Some breaches of planning control are unintentional. However, where a breach is identified, it is expected that those responsible will engage positively with the Council to resolve the problem, and show genuine commitment to regularising or remediying the breach.

The onus is on the contravener to regularise or remedy breaches of planning control and, where the opportunity to do so is not taken up, then formal enforcement action will be considered. The Council will seek to work with contraveners, where possible, to assist in remediying the breach and advising on what action is required to do so.

A timetable will be given for the contravener to put matters right and if he or she appears unwilling to do so or does not comply with the timetable, formal enforcement action may be taken without further reference to the contravener.

Where breaches of planning control are considered so serious that there should be no delay in taking preventative action, the Council can consider whether to serve Temporary Stop Notices or use its injunctive powers. These tools are however to be used sparingly and only in those cases where there is significant and irreparable harm likely to be caused.
G. Types of Formal Notices and Enforcement Tools

The following table gives a summarised version of some of the more common tools and notices are available to the planning enforcement service for investigating and resolving alleged breaches of planning control. The table is not exhaustive and more comprehensive details can be found in the PPG.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notice Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No breach Take no action or no formal action</td>
<td>Appropriate when it is concluded either that there is no breach of planning control or that there is a breach but its impacts are not sufficient to make it expedient for the Council to take enforcement action or where the contravener takes immediate action to remedy the breach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing Review</td>
<td>Take no immediate action, but monitor the position in case circumstances change. Such cases might include minor breaches causing no significant harm, those which are unlikely to create a precedent or which may be remedied of their own accord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow Time to Remedy</td>
<td>Time may be given to remedy the breach or justify its retention. Such cases may include situations where there is no demonstrable harm and is not so serious as to warrant immediate action or where it may be justifiable by some other benefit. However, because formal enforcement action takes some time in any event, any informal opportunity to resolve the breach will not be allowed to delay formal action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission of retrospective planning application</td>
<td>The Council can decide to invite a retrospective planning application for development where it considers this is the best way to deal with a breach of planning control. For example where it considers a development may be made acceptable subject to the imposition of planning conditions. However, in inviting an application it cannot be assumed that planning permission will be granted as any planning application will be decided in the normal way, taking into account all material planning and other considerations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Contravention Notice</td>
<td>This allows the Council to require information they need for enforcement investigation purposes with regards to any operation or use being carried out on the land as is used to invite the contravener to respond constructively to the Council about how any alleged breach of planning control could be remedied. This is a discretionary procedure and the Council does not need to serve a planning contravention notice before considering expediency of pursuing action. To provide misleading or false information or failure to return a notice within 21 days is an offence and could render the recipient liable to prosecution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement Notice and Enforcement Notice against breach of conditions</td>
<td>An enforcement notice can be issued where it is considered expedient to do so, against all or only part of a development that is held to be unacceptable (under enforcement). In such circumstances the remaining building or use will be deemed to have planning permission when the enforcement notice has been complied with sufficiently. There is a right of appeal to the Secretary of State against the notice, which can be upheld, quashed or amended. Non-compliance with the requirements of an enforcement notice is an offence. The penalty for non-compliance is up to £20,000 but there is no upper limit in the Crown Court.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach of Condition Notice</td>
<td>This can be used in addition or as an alternative to an enforcement notice where the unauthorised activity is in breach of a condition attached to a planning permission. As there is no right of appeal against a BCN and as it can only be used to secure complete compliance with a planning condition, “Under-enforcement” is not an option. Also, as there are no powers for the Council to enter the land and carry out works, prosecution is the only means of enforcement. Therefore the use of a BCN may not always be appropriate. The maximum penalty on conviction is level 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Enforcement Order</td>
<td>This enables the Council to take action against development that has been deliberately concealed, notwithstanding that the normal time limits (i.e. 4 or 10 years) may have expired or within the time limits, within 6 months of the apparent breach being drawn to the Council’s attention. The process is pursued through the Courts and the Council would be required to give evidence and prove that concealment was deliberate for an Order to be made. If the Order is made, then this gives the Council time to take enforcement action, if considered expedient. There is a right of appeal against an Order.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listed Building Enforcement Notices</td>
<td>A Listed Building Enforcement Notice can be served against unauthorised works that damage the character of a listed building. There is no four or ten year rule limiting time in which such an enforcement notice can be served.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgent Work Notice</td>
<td>This enables the Council to execute any works which appear to them to be urgently necessary for the preservation of a listed building.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listed Building Repairs Notice</td>
<td>This enables the Council to serve a notice upon the owner of a listed building specifying the works it considers reasonably necessary for the proper preservation of the building.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listed Building and Conservation Area demolition Prosecution</td>
<td>A person who is found to carry out unauthorised works that affect the special architectural character or historic interest of a statutorily listed building or carries out unauthorized demolition in a Conservation Area without planning permission, is committing an offence and can be prosecuted, and imprisoned for a term not exceeding 6 months, or fined up to £20,000 or, on conviction by indictment, to an unlimited fine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Stop Notice</td>
<td>A temporary stop notice can be issued to seek immediate cessation of the breach of control. Unlike a Stop Notice, it does not require an enforcement notice to be served first. It is only valid for a period of 28 days, by which time the Local Planning Authority can decide whether or not to serve an enforcement notice. There is no right of appeal against a Temporary Stop Notice and it is an offence to contravene such a Notice, with the maximum fine, on summary conviction, of up to £20,000. A Temporary Stop Notice should only be used where the Council is satisfied that there has been a breach of planning control and that the breach has to be stopped immediately. It can only be used where it is essential to safeguard amenity or public safety in the neighbourhood or to prevent serious or irreversible harm to the environment in the surrounding area. Compensation may be payable if the LPA later issues a lawful development certificate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stop Notice</strong></td>
<td>The Council can issue a Stop Notice where a breach of planning control alleged in an Enforcement Notice is causing serious or irreparable harm ahead of the deadline in the related enforcement notice. It can only be served if an enforcement notice has first been served. There is no right of appeal against a Stop Notice and it is an offence to contravene such a Notice, with the maximum fine, on summary conviction, of up to £20,000. However, a Stop Notice should only be served when the effects of the unauthorised activity are sufficiently serious and it is considered essential to safeguard amenity or public safety in the neighbourhood or to prevent serious or irreversible harm to the environment in the surrounding area. Accordingly, a decision to issue a Stop Notice must be fully justified as there are consequences for the Council if the related Enforcement Notice is quashed or varied on appeal, or the Stop Notice is withdrawn the Council may be liable to pay compensation for any financial loss resulting from the issuing of the Stop Notice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Court Injunction</strong></td>
<td>This may be taken in the most serious cases where irreparable harm is being incurred and where other actions have failed. There are significant costs involved in bringing such action and it can only be justified in extreme cases. Defendants risk imprisonment if they do not comply with a court order.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right of Entry</strong></td>
<td>Entry onto land can be authorized by Councils and Justices of the Peace for enforcement purposes. It is limited as to what is considered as essential in the particular circumstances for effective enforcement of planning control. It is an offence to willfully obstruct an authorized person acting in exercise of a right of entry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct Action</strong></td>
<td>The Council may enter land to take the necessary steps to secure compliance when an Enforcement Notice or s215 Notice has not been complied with. This may have to be at the Council’s cost if the contravener does not pay for the remedial works to be undertaken. However a charge can be placed on the land and costs are recoverable from the landowner when it is sold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 215 Notice</td>
<td>Such a Notice requires steps to be taken to remedy the condition of land or buildings that is considered to be adversely affecting the amenity of the surrounding area. Non-compliance is subject to a maximum penalty of level 3. Non-compliance after conviction attracts further fines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 225A Notice</td>
<td>Such a Notice requires the removal of a display structure in respect of unauthorised advertisements which are considered to adversely affect amenity or public safety. There is a right of appeal to the Magistrates Court. The display of an unauthorized advertisement is an offence and the contravener can be prosecuted in the Magistrates Court.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuance Notice</td>
<td>Such a Notice requires the removal of an advertisement displayed with the benefit of 'deemed advertisement consent', i.e. an advertisement that would not normally require consent from the Council to be displayed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Preservation Orders/Protected Trees</td>
<td>It is an offence not to comply with a tree preservation order and or cut down or lop trees in a conservation area without the necessary consent. The Council will consider the proportionality of proceeding straight to prosecution through the Magistrates court in respect of such cases.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H. The planning enforcement process flow chart.

- Report of Suspected Breach
  - Case file created and logged – may seek further information from complainant
    - Matrix assessment and desktop research
      - Allocate the case to an Enforcement Officer
        - Site Inspection
          - Seek further information – possible use of PCN
            - Decide if a breach has occurred
              - No breach – case closed
              - Breach has occurred but is immune from enforcement action – case closed
              - Breach has occurred and concluded expedient to take enforcement action
                - Consider nature of breach, severity of harm and expediency and decide appropriate course of action
                  - Non-compliance with enforcement action
                    - Consider period of grace to enable compliance
                      - Consider prosecution/works in default
                        - Compliance achieved – case closed
                      - Requirements of enforcement action complied with – case closed
                        - Owner/Occupier has option to apply for lawful development certificate
                          - If no breach, low priority or technical breach then case may be closed
                          - If partial compliance consider if sufficient – If so, case closed. If not
                            - Breach has occurred but time allowed for it to remedy itself. If remedied, case closed. If not
                              - Breach has occurred and application to regularise the breach submitted and approved with/without conditions – case closed
                              - Breach has occurred but concluded not expedient to take enforcement action – case closed
                                - Breach has occurred but is immune from enforcement action – case closed
                                  - Breach has occurred and concluded not expedient to take enforcement action – case closed
                                    - Non-compliance with enforcement action
                                      - Consider period of grace to enable compliance